RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Dec-22-2005 12:57pm From-OGCPALOALTO

+6508124274 T-206 P.003/006 F-465

DEC 2 2 2005

		PTO/SB/33 (07-05)	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW	Docker Number (Optional) A1320Q1-US-NP		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION	Application Number	Filed	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION	09/683,240	December 5, 2001	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Transmark Office Fax No. (703) 305-8568	First Named Inventor		
on <u>12/22/2005</u>	James G. Shanahan		
^ ^	Art Unit:	Examiner	
Signature	2178	Joshua D. Campbell	
Typed or printed name Caroline Bensor	Customer Number: 25453		
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the abouthis request.	ve-identified application.	No amendments are being filed with	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attac Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
1 am the			
Attomey or Agent of record.	Thomas Jell		
Registration number 37,481		Signature	
	Thomas Zell		
		ped or Printed name	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		650-812-4281	
	7	Telephone Number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		12/16/2005	
	<u></u> .	Date	
Total of 1 forms are submitted 305-8568			

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

DEC 2 2 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby centify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office to Fax No. 5/1-273-8300 on 12/22/05.

Caroline Benson

ne of person signing this certificate)

Application No.

09/683,240

Filed

12/05/2001

Inventor(s)

James G. Shanahan et al.

Docket No.

: A1320Q1-US-NP

Confirmation No. :

8313

Examiner

Joshua D. Campbell

Art Unit

: 2178

Title

META-DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM WITH

TRANSIT TRIGGERED ENRICHMENT

Customer No.

25453

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests a pre-appeal review of this application, which was finally rejected in an Office Action dated September 22, 2005 and for which a Response And Request For Reconsideration was filed November 22, 2005, and in response to which an Advisory Action was mailed December 9, 2005. Crossreference is made to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/683,239 for which a Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review is being simultaneously filed on similar grounds.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-6, 10-15, and 19-20 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz et al., U.S. Patent 6,122,647 (hereinafter "Horowitz") in view of Goodisman et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0069223 (hereinafter "Goodisman 2001"), rejects claims 7 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz in view of Goodisman as applied

to claims 1 and 10, and further in view of Keith JR, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0032672, and rejects claims 8, 9, 17, and 18 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz in view of Goodisman as applied to claims 1 and 10, and further in view of Nehab et al., U.S. Patent 6,029,182.

Goodisman 2001 claims priority to Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/249498, filed November 17, 2000 (hereinafter "Goodisman 2000" or "Goodisman Provisional").

The Office Action relies on paragraphs 0024-0027 of *Goodisman 2001*, which paragraphs are <u>not</u> disclosed in *Goodisman 2000*, in rejecting Applicant's claims (see Office Action on: page 3, lines 7-8; and page 4, line 10).

In view of the non-disclosure of paragraphs 0024-0027 in *Goodisman 2000*, Applicant respectfully submits that paragraphs 0024-0027 disclosed in *Goodisman 2001* filed <u>October 3, 2001</u> may not be relied on as prior art under 35 USC 103(a) in rejecting Applicant's invention, because Applicant's claimed invention is entitled to a priority date of <u>August 13, 2001</u>.

Also, if as maintained in the Advisory Action on page 2, line 2 that "the information relied upon in [Goodisman 2001] is indeed indicated in [Goodisman 2000]", then those sections of Goodisman 2000 should be cited in rejecting Applicant's invention in lieu of paragraphs 0024-0027 of Goodisman 2001, which are not disclosed in Goodisman 2000.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests in view of the forgoing that the final rejection of claims 1-20 be withdrawn and restated to not rely on portions of *Goodisman 2001* which were not disclosed in *Goodisman 2000*.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-20 are allowable for the reasons set forth in section 1.B of the Response And Request For Reconsideration, which sets forth starting on page 3 the following:

[] Applicant's invention recited in independent claim 1, 10, and 19 set forth, respectively, a method, apparatus and article of manufacture therefor, for enriching documents by invoking a print command from a print client interface (as shown in Figures 8 and 9) that allows selection of print property settings and properties of a

Application No. 09/683,240

document service request (see reference number 802). Further, Applicant's claimed invention recited in impendent claims 1, 10, and 19 recites the enrichment of document content at an insertion point that identifies a processing stage in printing the document content (see reference number 906 in Figure 9), which document content is defined by a document property included in the document service request (see reference number 808 in Figure 8) and annotated according to the enrichment theme of the personality identified by a personality identifier (see reference numbers 804 and 806 [in Figure 8]) included in an enrichment property of the document service request.

That is, Horowitz [] discloses a method for creating contextual hyperlinks in a text document [] and Goodisman Provisional [] discloses a method for integrating wireless web/internet with java-based application servers [] fail to disclose or suggest whether taken singly or in combination Applicant's method, article of manufacture and apparatus therefore, recited in claims 1, 10, and 19 that includes a print client interface for invoking a print command that allows selection of print property settings and properties of a document service request, where the document service request includes at least one document property and one enrichment property, and that recites a meta-document server that enriches document content at an insertion point, where the insertion point identifies a processing stage of the print command invoked from the print client interface.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests a pre-appeal review to reopen prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Zell

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 37,481

Date: 12/16/05

Telephone: 650-812-4281